



The 9/11 Terror Script

by James Corbett
corbettreport.com
August 30, 2014

Fire and heat the WTC New York 09/11/2001		Fire and heat Windsor Building Madrid 02/12/2005
What do you think that fire and heat do with a steel structure?		
1.5 hours after the outbreak fires. WTC is falling to the ground.		20 hours fire lasted in Madrid Windsor still stand.
The official version claim that the WTC "fall" due to fire and heat.		
<h1>THINK</h1>		

Here's a forecast: Americans in particular and the Western world in general are going to be exposed to an increasing cycle of hysterical, hyperventilating news reports over the course of the next month on the serious existential threat posed by ISIS.

OK, OK, I didn't necessarily have to go out on a limb for that one. But how about this forecast: this round of terror hysteria (terrsteria?), like every similar round in the past twelve years, will ultimately

fizzle out and disappear from the headlines by October.

Given that everyone in the MSM is parroting the terror propaganda (terrorganda?) without question, and even those in the alt media ([including myself](#)) have warned that this is a possible sign of a coming false flag event, the idea that all of this hype will amount to nothing is a somewhat bolder forecast, but it's one that's being made by researcher/author [Lenon Honor](#). He's [outlined](#) a "9/11 Program" that identifies a pattern that crops up every year during the six weeks leading up to the September 11th anniversary.

Remember last year? The hype started with the US' [closure](#) of dozens of diplomatic missions in Africa and the Middle East at the beginning of August. Things ramped up on September 9th with a white paper called "[Jihadist Terrorism: A Threat Assessment](#)" citing a resurgent Al Qaeda terror threat from the globalist-connected "Bipartisan Policy Center." This coincided with a run up of hysteria about Syria's chemical weapons generated from the ([now proven](#)) false flag chemical weapon attack in Houla in the outskirts of Damascus in late August. On September 24th the propaganda crew at West Point's "Combating Terrorism Center" released their own hyped up report on that threat: "[The Risk of Non-State Actors Acquiring Chemical Weapons in Syria](#)." The hysteria culminated on September 25th with the U.S. State Department's abrupt invocation of a [worldwide travel advisory](#) claiming that Al Qaeda "or its affiliated groups" (what a useful phrase) "may elect to use conventional or nonconventional weapons, and target both official and private interests." In other words: 'everyone in the world should be afraid of any kind of strike that could take place against any target at any time in any number of ways.' Or, in other other words: 'BE AFRAID!' Net result? Nada.

Or how about 2012? The terror campaign launched with the US government issuing a [worldwide caution](#) in July to citizens abroad over terror groups which "continue to plan terrorist attacks against U.S. interests in multiple regions, including Europe, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East." At the end of August it was reported that a new FBI / DHS joint security bulletin was warning that "anarchists" could attempt to disrupt that year's political conventions with "[acid-filled eggs](#)," a threat that (shockingly) failed to materialize. A [car bombing](#) in Peshawar that injured two US embassy officials on September 3rd served as foreshadow of the carnage in Benghazi the next week. On September 11, 2012, the US diplomatic mission in Benghazi was overrun, killing Ambassador Stevens and three others and launching an [ongoing political scandal](#) over who knew what when about the terror threat in Libya. The end result of that round of hysteria? No attacks on American soil.



Or 2011. That year's 9/11 anniversary was dominated by coverage of a "[specific, credible but unconfirmed](#)" threat from the FBI's favorite boogeyman, Al-CIAda. Despite the fact that this threat consisted of nothing more than "chatter" and the fact that "al Qaeda has shown an interest in important dates and anniversaries," specific details somehow emerged in the lurid media reporting of the threat, including the fact that it "may have come from the Pakistani border regions." The ultimate payoff of that year's threat? Nothing.

And so on and and so forth. There was the [Europe-wide terror threat](#) of September 2010, or the [Ground Zero mosque psy-op](#) of 2009, or the [US Embassy attack](#) in Yemen in September 2008, etc. Indeed, it seems to be the case every single year around this time: certain 9/11 "trigger" stories involving plane

crashes and terror threats and Ground Zero and Homeland Security take place in late summer, culminating with the 9/11 anniversary and a crescendo of terror hype, only to fizzle out by October. It has become as predictable as clockwork, and this year's terror hype over ISIS is no different.

This year we have seen the surprising advance of ISIS (the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria, also known as the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, or simply the Islamic State) across the border of Syria and into Iraq in June. This brought ISIS to the attention of many in the US and the western world who had never heard of it before, and since then we have been exposed to ever more spectacular propaganda to convince us that this is the deadliest new group since sliced bread (or something like that). First it was the [rounds of photos](#) claiming to show the group's brutal execution and treatment of its prisoners (at least some of which appear to have been [recycled](#) from other countries at other times). Then we witnessed the psy-op of the James Foley "beheading" video, which is now widely admitted to be faked and [reported as such](#) by The Times newspaper in the UK. This has now culminated in a joint press conference by US Secretary of Defense Hagel and Joint Chiefs of Staff Chair General Dempsey in which they laid out ISIS' "[End-of-Days](#)" vision and stressed that the group is "[beyond anything that we've seen](#)." Senator James Inhofe has joined the fray, insisting that the group is working on plans to "[blow up](#)" an American city, and numerous outlets have now suggested that city [may be Chicago](#).

ISIS did not just spring out of nowhere, though. Following the group's convoluted history back through its tangled web of multiple name changes and allegiances, we find that it officially began as "The Organization of Monotheism and Jihad" (or JTJ in its Arabic acronym) in Iraq in early 2004 under the leadership of Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi. This is the same Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi who was, according to official reports in the MSM: [killed in a bombing raid](#) in April of 2003; miraculously resurrected to be [arrested in Fallujah](#) in 2004; [re-arrested in Baakuba](#) in January of 2005; [evacuated from Iraq](#) in May of 2005; [killed in fighting](#) in June of 2005; and once again resurrected to be [killed once again](#) (presumably for good) in 2006. Somehow amidst all of that, he had the time to swear his budding terror group's allegiance to Bin Laden and become "Al Qaeda in Iraq."



Despite being the "most feared" enemy of the US in Iraq during the height of the US occupation of that country, somehow or other the US ended up (even in the official MSM narrative) aiding the group by toppling Gaddafi in Libya, which allowed the jihadists who had converged on that country access to the government's weapons caches, which were then transported out to Syria (and into the waiting hands of ISIS and the other jihadis there) via Benghazi. For those who've done their homework on Benghazi, this is [what the Benghazi attack was really about](#): covering up the CIA's assistance in that gun-running operation.

After Libya, the US and its allies turned their attention to overthrowing Assad and began openly cooperating with an assortment of radical Sunni jihadist groups that had infested the country, including ISIS, whose members [they helped train](#) at a US base in Jordan in 2012.

Now here we are in 2014, being asked to believe that this group just emerged fully-formed onto the world scene with [hundreds of millions of dollars](#) in funding, convoys of [identical Toyota trucks](#), and [an arsenal](#) most countries in the region would be envious of, including Stinger missiles, anti-aircraft guns, anti-tank weapons, Blackhawk helicopters and cargo planes, and even a Scud missile. The nuclear terror meme is even given succor by the fact that the group raided some nuclear materials from Mosul University last month (although the IAEA [apparently isn't concerned](#)).

For those of us who understand how the Islamic terror threat has been primarily fomented, fostered, aided, supplied, shepherded, protected and directed by western intelligence since the era of British colonialism, and how Al Qaeda and its various offshoots specifically have been actively aided by the CIA since its birth alongside the Reagan-supported "[freedom fighters](#)"/Taliban in Afghanistan in the 1980s, the story of the arrival of ISIS on the world stage will be all too familiar. Groups like this, with the funding and equipment they have at their disposal, do not just suddenly appear on the scene. They are fostered, protected and aided by powerful forces. This seems to be precisely the case with ISIS, which has in recent years been aided officially (if indirectly) by the US, and unofficially in more direct capacities.



The conclusion we can draw from this (and one that I put forward in my [most recent](#) "New World Next Week" video with James Evan Pilato of [MediaMonarchy.com](#)) is one of extreme caution. Where there's smoke there's fire, and the smoke of the current ISIS terror hype could be a harbinger of the fire of the next false flag event. Certainly all the pieces are there: shadowy terror group, seeding of the narrative in the public's mind, a technical and logistical capability that they have been helped along with, a target (Chicago?), an upcoming 9/11

anniversary. What better time for a false flag event to once again mobilize the public around the next stage of the flagging War on Terror and usher in the next stage of the Ferguson-style militarized police state?

But as commentators like Lenon Honor are pointing out, sometimes where there's smoke there's just a smokescreen. In this case the smoke of ISIS terror paranoia (terrornoia?) may be nothing more than another example of that yearly 9/11 anniversary script, the increasing cycle of terror propaganda that helps remind the American people of their national tragedy and appreciate all the great things their fearless leaders are doing to protect them. If this is indeed the case, we can expect some more scare stories, perhaps a global travel advisory or panic over a "specific, credible threat" that gets pumped through the 24/7 news cycle for a few days before fizzling out come October.

Given the stakes that are on the table in the event of another 9/11-style false flag event on US soil and the almost unimaginable consequences that such an event would bring about, let's hope those who are decrying this Chicken Little 9/11 terror script are right that there's nothing to this. But let's also never forget that when the "authorities" tell us that the terrorists can be foiled a thousand times but only need to be successful once, they are exactly correct. The only question is: who are the terrorists?

Loose Change 9/11 **VIDEO BELOW**
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uZlFE2fdnq8>

Terror Storm A History Of Government False Flag Terror **VIDEO BELOW**
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrXgLhkv21Y>

INFOWARS.COM

BECAUSE THERE'S A WAR ON FOR YOUR MIND